

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,847	01/31/2001	Harald Krondorfer	1466	6258
7590 11/18/2003 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, LOUIS B	
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 ,			3721	6 h
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	, 22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistance Occurrence	09/774,847	KRONDORFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL DATE AND THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN	Louis B Tran	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 11-23 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o	e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/774,847 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment, Paper No. 21, received on 10/09/2003. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-10 in Paper No. 21 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "said safety element being movable to avoid a passage of vibrations through the safety element being movable to avoid a passage of vibrations through the safety element during a predeterminable operation, and being formed so as not to counteract a dampening of the vibrations by said elastic element". It is unclear what this limitation is intended to encompass. Furthermore, it seems contradictory in that the safety element is movable to avoid passage of vibrations but formed not to counteract a dampening of the vibrations. Examiner would contend that being moveable to avoid passage of vibrations IS counteracting a dampening of vibrations by completely avoiding vibrations. It is also unclear if "not to counteract dampening of the vibrations" means to actually transfer vibrations. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,847

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 11,20-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Radle et al. (5,697,456).

With respect to claim 11, Radle et al. teaches hand power tool comprising a mounting part, at least one handle having at least one gripping part 28, at least one elastic vibration dampening element 56 mounted on said mounting part 32, said at least one gripping part being mounted on said housing through said elastic element and through said mounting element, and at least one additional moveable safety element 52 through which said gripping part is connected with said mounting part, said safety element being movable to avoid a passage of vibrations through the safety element during a predeterminable operation and being formed so as not to counteract a dampening of the vibrations by said elastic element.

With respect to claim 20, Radle et al. teaches wherein said safety element 52 is connected to said gripping part 28 exclusively via said elastic vibration damping element seen in Figure 2.

With respect to claim 21, Radle et al. teaches wherein said safety element is formed by a rigid rod which is completely surrounded by said elastic vibration damping element seen in Figure 2.

Art Unit: 3721

With respect to claim 22, Radle et al. teaches sleeves mounted on said mounting part and on said gripping part correspondingly and provided with discs, said safety element being arranged at the distance to said sleeves and said discs, which distance is filled with an elastic material, seen in Figure 2.

With respect to claim 23, Radle et al. teaches two sleeves mounted on said mounting part and on said gripping part correspondingly and provided with two discs, such that said sleeves 56 and said discs limit corresponding chambers, said safety element being formed as a rod having two ends provided with further discs, said further discs being non-releasably held in said chambers seen in Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 11-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jares (5,172,522) in view of Weber (DE 8701722 U1) in further view of Minamidate et al. (GB 2080920).

Jares discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a hand power tool comprising a housing 1, at least one handle having at least one gripping part 3 but does explicitly show at least one handle having at least one gripping part, at least one elastic vibration dampening element mounted on said mounting part, said at least one gripping part being mounted on said housing through said elastic element and through

Art Unit: 3721

said mounting element, and at least one additional moveable safety element through which said gripping part is connected with said mounting part, said safety element being movable to avoid a passage of vibrations through the safety element during a predeterminable operation and being formed so as not to counteract a dampening of the vibrations by said elastic element.

However, Weber teaches the use of at least one handle having at least one gripping part 11, at least one elastic vibration dampening element mounted on said mounting part, said at least one gripping part being mounted on said housing through said elastic element 5 and through said mounting element.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Jares with a handle with the specific features above in order to dampen vibrations.

Moreover, Minamidate et al. teaches at least one additional moveable safety element 3 through which said gripping part is connected with said mounting part, said safety element being 3 movable to avoid a passage of vibrations through the safety element during a pre-determinable operation and being formed so as not to counteract a dampening of the vibrations by said elastic element (a portion of item 1) seen in Figure 1(as in claim 11), a safety element 3 in the form of a flexurally non-rigid part (as in claim 12), as in column 2, line 101, formed as a rigid component which is connected through said elastic element with said gripping part and mounting part (as in claim 14), where elastic element surrounds safety element (as in claim 15), wherein safety element is arranged in said elastic element along a central axis (as in claim 16),

Application/Control Number: 09/774,847

Art Unit: 3721

wherein safety element in a mounted condition is loaded by pulling and elastic element in a mounted condition is loaded by pressure (as in claim 17), as seen in Figure 1, and wherein safety element determines a maximum deviation of said elastic element from a base position a tilting direction (as in claim 19) for the purpose of providing vibration-damping while rigidly supporting a hand grip to facilitate positive control as described in column 1 line 25 of Minamidate et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the modified device of Jares with the features above in order to provide vibration-damping while rigidly supporting a hand grip to facilitate positive control.

With respect to claim 13, the modified device of Jares discloses the claimed invention except for a safety element is formed as a metal wire. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a rope instead of a metal wire, since it is recognized that the equivalence of wires and ropes for their use in the art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide a connection between two objects would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jares (5,172,522) in view of Weber (DE 8701722 U1) and Minamidate et al. (GB 2080920) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Radle et al. (5,697,456).

The modified device of Jares discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a safety element but does not show a safety element formed as a band which surrounds said elastic element.

Art Unit: 3721

However, Radle et al. teaches the use of a safety element as a band 100 which surrounds an elastic element 98 for the purpose of acting as a covering element therefore protecting vibration dampening components from outside conditions as in column 6, line 20 seen in Figure 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the modified device of Jares with a band acting as a cover in order to provide covering from outside elements.

Conclusion

- 10. Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis B Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-6PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

JOHN SIPOS PRIMARY EXAMINER

lbt